17th March 1955] [Sri K. Bhashyam]

and in the other case, only partial interest passes. It must be made clear. As things stand, it looks to me that it is difficult to work out the rights of the purchaser of the property. Hence, the purchasers will be disinclined to purchase the property which is sold under such ambiguous terms of the section as it stands."

* DR. V. K. JOHN :—" It will give head-ache to Courts."

* THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" The hon. Mover of the amendment himself has said that the language of the Clause is a little confusing. I share his feeling, Sir. Since the hon. lawyer members of this House also feel that there is a lacuna and a little confusion, I will take steps to see that it is made clear. It is a question of interpretation of words. It is likely to be interpreted in other ways. There is scope for it. Therefore, that will be gone into and the necessary provision will be made."

MR. CHAIRMAN :—" The question is—

' *Omit* sub-clause (1).' "

The amendment was lost.

Clause 42 was put and carried.

MR. CHAIRMAN :—" The House will now adjourn and meet again tomorrow at three of the clock."

The House then adjourned.

V.—PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE OF THE HOUSE.

* 1. *Amendments to rules made under the Madras Co-operative Societies Act*, 1932, *issued with G.O. Ms. No.* 75, *Industries, Labour and Co-operation, dated the* 10*th January* 1955.

2. *Notification No.* 184 *issued with G.O. Ms. No.* 476, *Home, dated* 23*rd February* 1955, *regarding exemption of car belonging to German Consul at Madras and official car of German Consulate from payment of tax under the Madras Motor Vehicles Taxation Act,* 1931 (*Madras Act III of* 1931).

Sent by post on 11th March 1955.